

Date: Thu, 16 Sep 93 04:30:19 PDT
From: Ham-Ant Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-ant@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Ant-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Ant@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Ant Digest V93 #48
To: Ham-Ant

Ham-Ant Digest Thu, 16 Sep 93 Volume 93 : Issue 48

Today's Topics:

 Antenna switches and frequency
 G5RV

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Ant@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Ant-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Ant Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-ant".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 15 Sep 93 16:16:31 GMT
From: ogicse!hp-cv!hp-pcd!hpcvsnz!tomb@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Antenna switches and frequency
To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

Gary Coffman (gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us) wrote:

: Note that the center conductor of a BNC and a type N are identical.
: And note that the contact ring of a BNC and a type N are identical.
: The only mechanical difference is that the N uses a large screw on
: collar while the BNC uses a smaller quarter twist collar. In fact,
: you can plug a N male connector into a BNC female. The only problem
: is that you can't lock it into place. (You shouldn't do this because
: it's easy to misalign and that will ruin the center receptacle of
: the BNC female.)

OK, sometimes I agree and sometimes I don't. Look more closely at
the center conductors of an N and a BNC. The mating diameters
are NOT the same, and the N assumes an air dielectric in the
mating area, where the BNC is has sleeves of insulation, so the
center conductor of the N connector is larger there. If you mate

an N male to a BNC female, the female could be stretched to the point of damage, and I can about guarantee that you won't have a constant impedance connection. The BNC can be ruined even if you align things properly, because they really are NOT the same.

: Anyway, since current is limited by the center contact resistance,
: and they can be the same, and voltage is limited by dielectric materials,
: and those can be the same, a BNC can handle as much power as a N
: connector. This isn't usually done because BNCs are typically used
: on smaller cables than N connectors, but there are BNC connectors
: for larger cables such as RG-213. There is a difference in thermal
: mass of the outer shells of the two types, but that's not the
: critical limit, the center contact and dielectric are the critical
: limits.

Although I agree that the voltage is limited by things like spacing, materials and dimensions, and at first blush since the center conductor of the N connector is larger than the center conductor of the BNC you would think that it would have a lower voltage rating if anything (less space between center and outer, but darned near the same), the _manufacturers'_ratings_ show 1000 volts for the N and 500 for the BNC. I would not suggest that a connector be applied beyond its ratings except in an emergency. (Note that both Kings and Amphenol also rate the max contact resistance lower for the N than for the BNC.)

But as I've posted in another response in this thread, hams are unlikely to be connector limited; the coax is much more likely to be the limit, where RG-213 size (including 9913, etc.) and smaller, and polyethelene dielectric, is used.

Date: Tue, 14 Sep 93 23:39:57 GMT
From: btree!hale@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: G5RV
To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

In article <747773205snx@skyld.tele.com> jangus@skyld.tele.com (Jeffrey D. Angus) writes:

[a bunch of stuff regarding whether two coaxes operated in differential mode have an impedance of 2Z or 4Z deleted]

When I see this much blind faith in the wrong answer, I become quite discouraged. Some of you know what you are talking about, and some of you don't but think that you do. Aaaaargh!

Bob Hale
...!hale@brooktree.com (preferred)

...!ucsd!btree!hale

Date: 14 Sep 1993 22:22:10 -0400
From: news.centerline.com!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!news.delphi.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-ant@ucsd.edu

References <m8pq14INN2l1@news.bbn.com>, <CD1ts0.Mzx@srgenprp.sr.hp.com>,
<1993Sep14.024258.18728@trl.oz.au>efsd.com
Subject : Re: G5RV

djewell@rhea.trl.OZ.AU (David Jewell - Radio and Satellite Networks) writes:

>Now that everyone has absolutely squashed my childhood belief in the
>G5RV (down the same trail as the Easter Bunny and the Tooth Fairy) what
>am I to do now. Having recently (2 years ago) moved to a new QTH in a
>David... (VK3DAJ)

Yes, Yes, Yes. All you need to radiate twice the energy or more is ladder-line and a balanced antenna tuner. Throw away your coax and bring your 450 (best) or 300(ok) ohm ladder line all the way to a balanced antenna tuner. I don't know if a balun can do the job of a balanced antenna tuner. W2FMI says we can have virtually lossless baluns, and if so we can use an unbalanced antenna tuner into a "virtually lossless balun" and accomplish the same thing. I don't understand baluns all that well so I use a balanced antenna tuner. Jerry, if you are listening, jump in here and give us the benefit of your knowledge. Question: Is an unbalanced antenna tuner plus a virtually lossless balun equivalent to a balanced antenna tuner? TNX in advance, Cecil, KG7BK

End of Ham-Ant Digest V93 #48
